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BRIDG 5.0 Timeline

- Dec. 09, 2016 - Model Freeze
  - No new semantics after 12/9
- Jan. 01, 2016 – Complete Model QA/ Validation Scripts
- Jan 20, 2016 – Complete updates to all documentation
- Jan 20, 2016 – BRIDG 5.0 Internal release
- Jan 23, 2016 – Send to web master and all website changes
- Jan. 31, 2016 – BRIDG 5.0 Released
- Feb 01, 2016 – ISO ballot opens ?? (NWIP Status?)
- Feb 20, 2016 – HL7 NIB
- Mar 01, 2016 – CDISC Ballot opens
- April 01, 2016 – HL7 ballot opens
- May 01, 2016 – Joint ballot reconciliation begins
BRIDG 5.0 Scope

• **New Semantics**
  – Imaging
    • relevant parts of DICOM + NCI Annotated Imaging Markup (AIM)
  – Study Management
    • Vendor project
  – NCI Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER)

• **New Views**
  – Oncology (NCI + CDISC Oncology domains)
  – CDISC SDTM 3.1.3 (plus VS, RS, EX domains)

• **Controlled Vocabulary**
  – Compilation of Controlled Vocabulary for Imaging
BRIDG Imaging
BRIDG Imaging Project Scope

• Harmonized with key concepts in DICOM to support interoperability between clinical research semantics and Imaging concepts in DICOM
  – NCI initiative to align BRIDG and DICOM in support of interoperability between clinical research data and imaging data
  – Under discussions with FDA to review the imaging concepts

• Harmonized key overlapping concepts from:
  – DICOM core modules (key concepts, series and image concepts summarized)
  – DICOM Supplement 121 (protocol specification, defined and performed, acquisition and reconstruction)
  – NCI AIM (annotations and measurements)
  – DICOM SR TID 1500 (structured reporting concepts)
Imaging Use Cases

1. Identification of entities – person, animal, specimen, image
   - DICOM has specimen identification
2. Image acquisition
3. Image Type (modalities) – could include WSI
4. Annotation & Structured Reporting
5. Anatomic Pathology (Selected fields and WSI)
6. Archiving (building a single archive for radiology, WSI and proteogenomic)
7. Support gene panels
Imaging Focus

- Focus of the DICOM to BRIDG mapping was to support the first 4 use cases of NCI (from previous slides)
  - Scoped to Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance (MR), Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
  - Key concepts of Series and Image level of data were summarized

- Identify the touch points between BRIDG and DICOM to support an implementable interoperability scenario
  - Scoped to interfaces only
Summary of BRIDG–DICOM Harmonization

- Majority of the elements from identified DICOM Modules already existed in BRIDG

- A few new semantics (14 classes) were added to BRIDG as a result of harmonization that was focused on *modeling-by-reference*
  - i.e., harmonize on touch points and common semantics only

- Identified clinical trials related elements in DICOM that could point to BRIDG in the future
  - Two way modeling-by-reference
Principles used in DICOM Modeling-by-Reference

• If a standard exists, leverage and re-use the standard rather than represent it again in BRIDG. Align with existing overlapping BRIDG concepts

• In DICOM Harmonization, “modeling-by-reference” meant that not all DICOM semantics were added to BRIDG
  – Instead we reviewed what DICOM, FHIR, AIM and NBIA, etc. have & adopted portions that supported BRIDG use cases
  – Focused on key concepts and query/summary-level data, i.e.:
    • What data elements would you want to query on to find relevant clinical/imaging data and when found to build links to a DICOM-based system to access the detailed Imaging data
  – Omitted many concepts that are too detailed for a CTMS and best handled by DICOM-based systems, e.g. series & images
BRIDG Imaging Sub-Domain

- Subject
  - Animal
  - Person
  - Performer
- Imaging Protocol
- Imaging Study
- Clinical Trial Protocol (aka StudyProtocolVersion)
- Measurements & Annotations
- Device
- CT/MR/PET Specifics
- Radiopharmaceutical

Imaging Protocol Elements

Legend:
- Common Sub-Domain
- Sub-Domain Specific
- Study Protocol Sub-Domain
- Imaging Sub-Domain
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Vendor Scope

• Business context for new semantics: Trial management and monitoring
  – harmonization scoped to tracking resources, countries, and subjects in a Study, etc.

• Vendor has implemented BRIDG so the semantics brought to harmonization are the ones that the vendor needed that were not part of the BRIDG model
  – Makes the BRIDG model richer to get implementable real use cases
  – On-going process. Additional semantics will be brought by the vendor for BRIDG harmonization in near future.
Key additions

• Modification to the way Identifiers are represented in BRIDG model
  – Prior to this change, BRIDG model represented Identifiers using the “II” HL7 R2 ADT datatype, which didn’t include all the semantics we needed. We used the vendor’s approach for identifiers, which is an extended datatype called ID, which contains all the semantics needed for identifiers, and allowed us to delete 11 identifier classes and move the identifiers to their “home” class.

• Addition of following New semantics (Vendor class names)
  – ClinicalDevelopmentPlan
  – Activity – associations from StudyCountry, StudySite, Study
  – ProgressCount – new class and attributes
  – Resource – new attribute
  – StaffInterest – new class and attributes
  – StaffMember – new class and attributes
  – Study – new association to StudyProtocolVersion
  – StudyOverallStatus – 3 new attributes added – sitesActual, etc.
  – StudySitePersonnel – new association to StudyCountry
Example use of II data type in BRIDG
(there were 11 instances of this situation)
Identifier Data Types

OLD

NEW

```
<<interface>>
II
+ root(): UID
+ extension(): ST.SIMPLE
+ displayable(): BL
+ identifierName(): ST.NT
+ scope(): CS
+ reliability(): CS
```

```
ID
+ effectiveDateRange: IVL_TS_DATETIME [0..1]
+ identifier: II
+ primaryIndicator: BL [0..1]
+ sourceTypeCode: CD [0..1]
+ sourceIdentifier: II [0..1]
+ identifierTypeCode: CD
```
Identifier Classes to be deleted

- BiologicEntityGroupIdentifier
- BiologicEntityIdentifier
- DocumentIdentifier
- GeneIdentifier
- GeneticVariationIdentifier
- MaterialIdentifier
- MessengerRNAIdentifier
- OrganizationIdentifier
- PathwayIdentifier
- ProteinIdentifier
- SubjectIdentifier
Vendor Semantics in the Model

ID Data Type

Progress Count

Staff Interest

Public Title for Protocol Document

Staff Member

Clinical Development Plan
NCI Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Reporting (SEER)
The Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) is an authoritative source of information on cancer incidence and survival in the United States.

The SEER Program registries routinely collect data on patient demographics, primary tumor site, tumor morphology and stage at diagnosis, first course of treatment, and follow-up for vital status.
SEER Components
(Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program)

SEER Program

- 131 data elements in 9 sections
- uses ICD-O-3 for 3 of these data elements
- about 75 have draft mappings to BRIDG

Details:

- 6 data elements
- 1 data element
- 21 data elements
- 12 data elements
- 15 data elements
- 20 data elements
- 28 data elements
- 15 data elements
- 13 data elements

Histology Type
is composed of
Morphology
Behavior
Primary Site

Collaborative Stage Coding System

ICD-O-3 Codes

has 3 data elements that use

NCI Center for Biomedical Informatics and Information Technology
Summary of SEER Harmonization

• Key Aspects
  – Key point to make regarding SEER harmonization was the addition of the “Standard of Care” class in BRIDG which has now allowed BRIDG to support patient’s clinical data without being connected to a clinical trial
  – SEER has a defined and published a controlled vocabulary document
    • Modeling team planning to compare these value sets to CDISC Oncology terminology, when available
New Core Class in BRIDG Backbone
New Views in BRIDG 5.0
Why BRIDG Views

• Addresses some of the concerns raised during previous HL7 ballot cycles
  – Makes BRIDG more accessible by presenting it in smaller sets
  – Makes BRIDG more consumable and usable
  – Less overwhelming
  – Easier to see the domain in smaller and controlled fashion
  – Started development of smaller views in release 4.1
    • Product, Organization, ..
  – Continuing on this plan and also looking at developing use case/activity based views in future
BRIDG Controlled Vocabulary Analysis
BRIDG Vocabulary Status

- BRIDG does not currently recommend value set binding for the coded attributes
  - This has been a long standing “to do“ for BRIDG model effort
  - Has been identified as something that needs to be done to truly support semantic interoperability at implementation level
  - The BRIDG Architecture work group had identified the need for 2 kinds of vocabulary binding in BRIDG
    - Domain
    - Structural
  - Starting with BRIDG 5.0, the modeling team will publish a BRIDG vocabulary document that is a compilation of various value sets from different sources for given BRIDG coded attributes
    - In BRIDG 5.0, the value sets for DICOM and NCI AIM concepts of BRIDG will be published
  - Currently working on compiling the value sets for Oncology concepts from CDISC TA, NCI EVS, SEER, etc.
Vocabulary Discussion

• How does the WG review the compilation of value sets and recommend a set?

• Binding BRIDG recommended vocabulary to the model elements
  – Recommend we dedicate 1 or 3 WG calls for this discussion